# Bis(*tert*-butylthiolato-S)germanium–Imide Trimer

CLIFFORD GEORGE<sup>a</sup> AND ANDREW P. PURDY<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory for the Structure of Matter, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375-5341, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Chemistry Division, Naval Research laboratory, Washington, DC 20375-5342, USA. E-mail: george@pauling.nrl.navy.mil

(Received 16 May 1996; accepted 11 June 1996)

### Abstract

The cyclic germanium (IV) imide thiolate, *cyclo*-tri- $\mu$ -imido-tris[bis(*tert*-butylthiolato-S)germanium], [Ge<sub>3</sub>-{(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CS}<sub>6</sub>(NH)<sub>3</sub>], was synthesized and its absolute structure determined. The six-membered ring formed by the trimer has a greater non-planarity than the only other reported Ge<sup>IV</sup>-imide trimer. The Ge—N ring distances range from 1.755 (13) to 1.829 (12) Å and average 1.807 Å. The Ge—S distances range from 2.171 (4) to 2.272 (5) Å and average 2.218 Å.

## Comment

The structure determination of the title compound, (I), was undertaken in order to positively identify the compound and determine the degree of oligimerization.



The  $Ge_3N_3$  ring is puckered, with the N atoms deviating from the plane formed by the three Ge atoms by 0.11(2), 0.14(2) and -0.50(1)Å for atoms N1, N2 and N3, respectively (Fig. 1). This non-planarity is greater than is seen in (Cl<sub>2</sub>GeNCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, which has Natom deviations in the range -0.01 to 0.23 Å (Ziegler & Weiss, 1971). Steric effects of the bulky tert-butylthiolate groups may account for the greater puckering. The puckered ring forms a fold along the line connecting the Ge2 and N3 atoms producing two four-membered least-squares planes [maximum deviation from the mean plane of 0.03(2)Å], with a dihedral angle between the planes of 25.3 (5)°. The N-Ge-N angles are slightly smaller and the Ge-N-Ge angles slightly larger than in  $(Cl_2GeNCH_3)_3$ , which has comparable Ge-N distances of 1.78 (2)-1.86 (2) Å.



Fig. 1. Displacement-ellipsoid plot of the title compound drawn at the 20% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. The lower occupancy disordered *tert*-butylthiolate groups are shown with dashed bonds.

The Ge<sup>II</sup>-imide trimer [GeN{(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH}C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub> (Bartlett & Power, 1990) forms a planar ring and has distances and angles similar to those of the title compound. All Ge—S distances here are similar to the distances of 2.261 (3) and 2.255 (4) Å (Veith, Detemple & Huch, 1990), and of 2.199 (2) and 2.195 (2) Å (Pfeiffer, Noltemeyer & Meller, 1989) found in other Ge<sup>IV</sup> thiolates. The S—Ge—S angles are comparable to those in [Ge(SC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>4</sub> (Kersting & Krebs, 1994). The complex is chiral due to the S—C arms which form a handed screw. Both thiolate groups attached to the Ge3 atom are disordered. The *tert*-butylthiolate groups are displaced by *ca.* 53° from the higher occupancy positions, but the central C atoms of the respective *tert*-butyl groups remain essentially fixed.

#### Experimental

In a reaction aimed at synthesizing (Me<sub>3</sub>CS)<sub>3</sub>GeNH<sub>2</sub> (Mehrotra, Gupta & Sukhani, 1967), GeCl<sub>4</sub> (2.97 g, 14.0 mmol) and Me<sub>3</sub>CSH (3.92 g, 43.5 mmol) were condensed into 50 ml of anhydrous benzene in a 100 ml H-tube under vacuum. The mixture was warmed slowly to dissolve the contents and then 90 mmol of anhydrous NH<sub>3</sub> was condensed in at 77 K. This mixture was warmed slowly to room temperature and stirred for one day. Following filtration and evaporation to dryness, the waxy solid obtained was recrystallized twice under an inert atmosphere from hot dry heptane to afford 0.269 g of  $[Ge(NH)(SCMe_3)_2]_3$  as a minor product. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$ 1.598 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.51 (NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 35.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 48.1 (C); IR  $(cm^{-1})$ : 3397, 3383 [N-H (s)]. The data crystal was mounted in a sealed glass capilliary under an inert atmosphere and the data were collected at 223 K. The low-temperature apparatus restricts the data collection to  $\theta$  of less than 60°.

## 2490

## $[Ge_3(C_4H_9S)_6(NH)_3]$

Crystal data		C2C	0.025(2)	0.609(2) 0.397(2)	0.458(1)	0.065 (6)	
$[Ge_1(C_4H_0S)_2(NH)_2]$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation	C3A	0.293(2) 0.324(3)	0.397(2) 0.260(3)	-0.084(2)	0.129(15)	
M = 707.86	$\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$	C3B	0.203(2)	0.437 (3)	-0.147(1)	0.080 (9)	
$M_r = 191.00$	$\Lambda = 1.54176$ A	C3C	0.397 (2)	0.484 (3)	-0.059(1)	0.080 (9)	
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25	C4	0.181(1)	0.872 (2)	0.041(1)	0.039 (4)	
P2 <sub>1</sub>	reflections	C4A	0.301 (2)	0.917 (2)	0.051 (2)	0.069 (7)	
a = 12.013(2) Å	$\theta = 5.25 - 27.40^{\circ}$	C4 <i>B</i>	0.099 (2)	0.951 (2)	-0.023 (1)	0.059 (6)	
b = 10.610(2) Å	$\mu = 5.884 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C4 <i>C</i>	0.146 (2)	0.874 (2)	0.130(1)	0.068 (7)	
c = 15.634(3) Å	T = 243(2) K	S5†	0.4816 (5)	0.8191 (5)	0.2828 (4)	0.0367 (16)	
$\beta = 103.08(3)^{\circ}$	Irregular	C5†	0.569 (2)	0.850(2)	0.394 (1)	0.035 (6)	
p = 103.00(3)	$0.49 \times 0.42 \times 0.21$ mm	C5A†	0.520(2)	0.978(3)	0.422(2)	0.056 (7)	
V = 1940.9(7) A		CSC	0.694 (2)	0.809(3)	0.393(2) 0.450(1)	0.030(8)	
Z = 2	Translucent	C3C1 85'+	0.555(2)	0.730(3)	0.439(1) 0.3211(0)	0.043(7)	
$D_x = 1.365 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		55 ‡ C5'†	0.0292(9)	0.836(6)	0.3211(9) 0.377(5)	0.031 (3)	
$D_m$ not measured		C5'Ct	0.501 (0)	0.000(0)	0.458 (6)	0.080	
		C5'Bt	0.657 (7)	0.930(11)	0.398 (7)	0.080	
		C5'A1	0.463 (7)	0.880(12)	0.306 (6)	0.080	
Data collection		S6†	0.6548 (4)	0.5503 (5)	0.2823 (4)	0.041 (2)	
Siemens P4 diffractometer	2643 observed reflections	C6†	0.659(1)	0.375(1)	0.278(1)	0.051 (7)	
ADA scans	$[L > 2\sigma(D)]$	C6A†	0.636 (2)	0.326(2)	0.364 (2)	0.066 (10)	
Absorption competions	P = 0.0211	C6 <i>B</i> †	0.780 (2)	0.341 (2)	0.274 (2)	0.073 (10)	
Absorption correction:	$R_{int} = 0.0211$	C6C†	0.558(1)	0.351(1)	0.202(1)	0.13 (3)	
semi-empirical via	$\theta_{\rm max} = 58.21$	S6'‡	0.554 (1)	0.442(1)	0.201 (1)	0.103 (15)	
$\psi$ scans (XPREP in	$h = 0 \rightarrow 13$	C6.1	0.649(1)	0.384(1)	0.281(1)	0.080	
SHELXTL; Sheldrick,	$k = -11 \rightarrow 2$		0.757(1)	0.407(1) 0.386(1)	0.297(1) 0.365(1)	0.080	
1994)	$l = -17 \rightarrow 16$	C6'4t	0.003(1)	0.380(1) 0.230(1)	0.303(1) 0.260(1)	0.080	
$T_{\rm min} = 0.036, T_{\rm max} = 0.162$	3 standard reflections	C0 74	0.082 (1)	0.239(1)	0.200(1)	0.000	
3160 measured reflections	monitored every 97	† Site occupancy of 0.746 (10).					
2004 independent reflections	reflections	1	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··· (··/· + ···		<b>、</b>	
2904 independent reflections	Tenections						
	intensity decay: 3.1%	Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)					
Refinement		Ge1—N1		1.809 (12) G	e2—\$3	2.216 (5)	
<b>P</b> ofinement on $F^2$	Extinction correction:	Ge1—N3		1.829 (12) G	e3—N2	1.755 (13)	
	SHELVTI	Ge1-S1		2.203 (4) G	e3—N3	1.818 (12)	
R(F) = 0.0512	SHELAIL	Ge1—S2		2.224 (4) G	e3—S6	2.171 (4)	
$wR(F^2) = 0.1320$	Extinction coefficient:	Ge2—N2		1.808 (14) G	e3—S5'	2.188 (7)	
S = 1.038	0.0024 (4)	Ge2N1		1.821 (11) G	e3—S6'	2.258 (7)	
2904 reflections	Atomic scattering factors	Ge2—S4		2.212 (5) G	e3—\$5	2.272 (5)	
354 parameters	from International Tables	N1-Ge1	—N3	105.4 (5) N	2—Ge3—S6	120.0 (5)	
H atoms riding	for Crystallography (1992.	NI-Gel	—S1	114.3 (5) N	3—Ge3—S6	115.1 (4)	
$1/(-2/E^2) + (0.0772D)^2$	Vol C Tables 4268 and	N3—Ge1	S1	110.5 (4) N	2—Ge3—S5'	129.1 (7)	
$w = 1/[\sigma (F_0) + (0.0772F)]$	(1, 1, 4)	N1-Gel	—S2	115.8 (5) N	3—Ge3—S5'	121.7 (5)	
+ 5.4341Pj	0.1.1.4)	N3—Gel		105.4 (4) N	2-003-50	82.3(7)	
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Absolute configuration:	N2_C-2		105.0 (2) N	5'_Ge3_S6'	101 9 (5)	
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.149$	Flack (1983)	N2-Ge2		114.6 (6) N	2—Ge3—S5	95.6(7)	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.118 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	Flack parameter = $0.11(9)$	N1-Ge2	—Š4	113.6 (5) N	3—Ge3—S5	112.0 (5)	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.128 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$		N2—Ge2	—S3	114.2 (6) Se	6—Ge3—S5	105.2 (2)	
		N1—Ge2	—S3	102.3 (5) G	e1—N1—Ge2	131.9 (7)	

S4-Ge2-S3

N2-Ge3-N3

...

 
 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	y	z	$U_{eq}$
Gel	0.23377 (13)	0.53455 (18)	0.30130(10)	0.0240 (5)
Ge2	0.25244 (13)	0.57672 (19)	0.09496 (10)	0.0281 (5)
Ge3	0.47707 (14)	0.6085 (2)	0.25573 (11)	0.0364 (6)
S1	0.1671 (4)	0.3685 (4)	0.3581 (3)	0.0342 (10)
S2	0.2020 (4)	0.6962 (4)	0.3832 (3)	0.0381 (11)
S3	0.2360 (4)	0.3897 (5)	0.0302 (3)	0.0440 (12)
S4	0.1639 (4)	0.7117 (5)	-0.0054 (3)	0.0395 (11)
N1	0.1800 (10)	0.5521 (15)	0.1841 (8)	0.037 (4)
N2	0.3976(11)	0.6198 (19)	0.1470 (9)	0.050 (5)
N3	0.3892 (10)	0.5247 (14)	0.3176(7)	0.026 (3)
CI	0.1736(15)	0.2270(17)	0.2879(11)	0.036 (4)
C1A	0.160 (2)	0.120(2)	0.3460 (14)	0.065 (6)
C1 <i>B</i>	0.076 (2)	0.238 (2)	0.204(1)	0.058 (6)
C1 <i>C</i>	0.287 (2)	0.220 (2)	0.261 (1)	0.051 (5)
C2	0.048(1)	0.699 (2)	0.387(1)	0.042 (5)
C2A	0.027 (2)	0.831 (2)	0.409 (2)	0.087 (10)
C2 <i>B</i>	-0.025 (2)	0.662 (2)	0.298(1)	0.062 (6)

The two *tert*-butylthiolate groups bonded to the Ge3 atom are disordered and display alternate positions for the S and C atoms. The occupancy ratio is 3:1. Both the S and C atoms were refined with bond-distance restraints and the C atoms were refined with next nearest neighbor distance restraints. The displacement parameters for the lower occupancy C atoms were isotropic and fixed. Large difference peaks remain within the disordered *tert*-butyl groups indicating an additional level of disorder, however, it was not possible to model it discretely.

Ge3-N2-Ge2

Ge3-N3-Ge1

132.6 (8)

126.5 (7)

106.1 (2)

107.1 (6)

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research (ONR).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1205). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. octahedron. The niobium cations are displaced from the center of the  $[NbF_5O_{1/2}]^-$  octahedra towards the bridging O atoms (Goodenough & Longo, 1970) in an antiparallel manner.

#### References

- Bartlett, R. A. & Power, P. P. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 3660-3662.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Kersting, B. & Krebs, B. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 3886-3892.
- Mehrotra, R. C., Gupta, V. D. & Sukhani, D. (1967). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29, 83–86.
- Pfeiffer, J., Noltemeyer, M. & Meller, A. (1989). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 572, 145–150.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTL. Release 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. Release 2.10b. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Veith, M., Detemple, A. & Huch, V. (1990). Chem. Ber. 124, 1135-1141.
- Ziegler, M. & Weiss, J. (1971). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 26, 735.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2491-2493

# $[pyH^{+}]_{2}[CdNb_{2}(py)_{4}O_{2}F_{10}]^{2-}$

PARAMASIVAN HALASYAMANI, MICHAEL J. WILLIS, KEVIN R. HEIER, CHARLOTTE L. STERN AND KENNETH R. POEPPELMEIER\*

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3113, USA. E-mail: krp@nwu.edu

(Received 5 December 1995; accepted 6 June 1996)

#### Abstract

A new  $Cd^{II}/Nb^{\vee}$  compound, bis(pyridinium) decafluoro- $2\kappa^5 F, 3\kappa^5 F$ -di- $\mu$ -oxo-1: $2\kappa^2 O$ ;1: $3\kappa^2 O$ -tetrakis(pyridine-N)cadmiumdiniobium(2–), (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>[CdNb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-N)<sub>4</sub>], has been synthesized. The complex contains [CdNb<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>F<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2–</sup> anions (py is pyridine) linked *via* the pyridinium cation through N—H<sup>+</sup>...F hydrogen bonding. The anion is composed of two [NbF<sub>5</sub>O<sub>1/2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> octahedra connected by O<sup>2–</sup> to a central [Cd(py)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2/2</sub>] octahedron.

#### Comment

The new mixed metal compound,  $[pyH^+]_2[CdNb_2(py)_4-O_2F_{10}]^2-$ , (I), is isostructural with  $[pyH^+]_2[CuNb_2(py)_4-O_2F_{10}]^2-$  (Halasyamani *et al.*, 1996). The title metal complex contains anionic  $[CdNb_2(py)_4O_2F_{10}]^2-$  clusters linked *via* the pyridinium cation through hydrogen bonding. Each cluster is composed of two  $[NbF_5O_{1/2}]^-$  octahedra connected through  $O^2-$  to a central  $[Cd(py)_4O_2/_2]$ 



Single crystal diffraction data revealed only three unique atoms in the asymmetric unit for pyridinium, indicating disorder. Owing to a number of possible disorder constraints, the probable N(3)—H<sup>+</sup>···F hydrogen-bonding interactions were used to disorder N(3) and C(9). Only one hydrogen-bonding interaction, however, occurs for each ring. The large  $U_{33}$  value observed for the F(3) atom is due to the possible hydrogen-bonding interactions.



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot (50% probability ellipsoids) of the polynuclear anion [CdNb<sub>2</sub>(py)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>10</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>.

The bond lengths of (I) compare well with other compounds. For example, the Nb—O/F distances, Nb—F<sub>trans</sub> 2.097 (6), Nb—O 1.747 (7) and Nb—F<sub>eq</sub> 1.935 (4) Å, compare well to the Nb—O/F distances of Nb—F<sub>trans</sub> 2.095 (2), Nb—O 1.765 (2) and Nb—F<sub>eq</sub> 1.925 (2)–1.974 (2) Å in Na<sub>2</sub>[NbF<sub>5</sub>O] (Stomberg, 1983). In addition, the average Cd—N distance of 2.35 (1) Å is in good agreement with the Cd—N distance of 2.341 (5) Å in [Cd(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(I.I<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (Tebbe & Plewa, 1982).